Why This Matters

- Global concern exists for disruption to a range of necessary health services in the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Evidence from recent epidemics shows substantial decreases in contraceptive use due to stock-outs, facility closures, and fear of accessing services.¹
- COVID-19 projections estimate that 15 million additional unintended pregnancies could occur over one year if COVID-related service disruptions affected 10% of women in need of sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services in low- and middle-income countries.²
- Urban youth are more prone to rely on coital-dependent contraceptive methods, including condoms and emergency contraception, which may be more susceptible to COVID-related access and service disruptions and are less effective in preventing pregnancy.
- Prior to COVID-19, young women living in Nairobi’s informal settlements experienced cost barriers to accessing sanitary pads³—loss of income is expected to exacerbate affordability issues, especially as households prioritize other basic needs.

Understanding the Context

Data from 2019 Baseline

- Over half (53%) of males and 37% of females aged 15-24 years living in Nairobi county were using a modern contraceptive method at baseline survey (June-August 2019).
- Coital-dependent methods were most common, specifically male condoms (91% among male users, 36% among female users).
- Youth most frequently accessed primary contraceptive methods from pharmacies (35.7%).

COVID-19 Restrictions in Nairobi

- As of November 2, 2020, there were 55,877 COVID-19 cases and 1,013 confirmed COVID-related deaths in Kenya.⁴
- The first case of COVID-19 was detected on March 13, 2020.⁵ School closures, national lockdown, and mandatory curfew immediately followed. As of October, mandatory curfews were relaxed and schools began to partially re-open.⁶ However, a second wave of COVID-19 cases may result in a rollback of Kenya’s reopening.⁶
- These restrictions, while essential to curbing the spread of COVID-19, could decrease access to essential health and SRH services.

Spotlight on Gender Analysis

A gender analysis is critical, inclusive of gender-stratified quantitative analysis and attention to gendered social and economic power dynamics, norms, and underlying inequities.
• Fear of being infected with COVID-19 at health facilities was the primary difficulty in accessing any health service for both genders, though young men disproportionately reported difficulty accessing due to government restrictions.

At the time when COVID-19 restrictions began:

52.1% YOUNG WOMEN 32.2% YOUNG MEN

Young men reported difficulty accessing due to government restrictions:

13.0% YOUNG WOMEN 21.9% YOUNG MEN

Key Findings: Access to Health Services

Key Findings: Contraceptive Disruptions

• Difficulty accessing contraception was common for current users of contraception.

34.6% Young women 40.4% Young men

• Fear of being infected at health facilities was the greatest disruption for both genders.

18.7% Young women 20.7% Young men

• Difficulty accessing contraception was most likely to occur for current users of coital-dependent methods, who are already at increased risk of unintended pregnancy.

Disruptions to Contraception Since COVID-19 Restrictions

• Healthcare facility or doctor’s office closed, appointment not possible
• Partner does not approve
• No transportation to access healthcare services
• Unable to access services because of government restrictions on movement
• Unable to afford healthcare services
• Fear of being infected with COVID-19 at healthcare facilities

Reasons not mutually exclusive

Among users of contraception at follow-up
Access to condoms, both due to stockouts and money constraints, was a key issue discussed within focus group discussions (FGDs):

“So you find like that... 50 shillings to go to buy [a] condom [but] you find that also to get job is hard at the moment. So, that 50 [shillings] you will think of food [rather] than [a] condom.”
- 22-year-old male FGD participant

Fear of infection remained a key barrier to accessing SRH services specifically:

“Most right now that were using [contraception] fear to go to hospital, why? You can go to the hospital you [will] be tested and be told you have Corona, you [will] be told to go to quarantine. No one wants to go to quarantine.”
- 23-year-old female FGD participant

While accessing more effective methods was not particularly problematic for young women already using these methods, young women reported difficulty accessing adequate counseling:

“[I] have been having some discussions with young women and young girls, who had previously received a contraceptive service and especially LARC services. During that period of lock down, they were experiencing side effects, and because of the restrictions in movement they were unable to access further counseling on side effects which they were experiencing.”
- 30-35 year-old male Officer at Contraceptive service provider

Over half of young women (52%) experienced disruptions to accessing menstrual hygiene products since the start of COVID-19 restricts, with cost indicated as the primary barrier.

Reasons not mutually exclusive
In 2019, Performance Monitoring for Action (PMA) Agile carried out a Youth Respondent-Driven Sampling Survey (YRDSS) among adolescents and youth ages 15-24 (N=1357, male N=690 and female N=664) in Nairobi, Kenya between June and August. In 2020, a fully remote follow-up study was conducted with the study cohort (now ages 16-26) to track changes in contraceptive dynamics, and assess the gendered impact of COVID-19. The quantitative surveys were conducted by phone in two distinct sessions to limit participant burden: YRDSS Follow-up (N=1223, male N=610 and female N=613) and Gender/COVID-19 Survey (N=1217, male N=605 and female N=612). Sampling weights accommodate the RDS study design, post-estimation adjustment and non-response adjustment.

Virtual qualitative methods included focus group discussions (FGDs) with unmarried youth ages 15-24 (N=64, over 8 groups), FGDs with youth-serving stakeholders (N=32, over 4 groups), and key informant interviews with higher-level stakeholders (N=12). Data collection was conducted from August to October 2020.

You find jobs have been terminated and then adolescent girls have been challenged as you can find like in slums most of them are dependent on those NGOs [nongovernmental organizations] to get pads [sanitary towels], but you find right now they are suffering a lot because most of them [NGOs] have been closed.
- 17-year-old female FGD participant

### Action Steps

- Pandemic-related disruptions to sexual and reproductive health include those to contraception as well as menstrual hygiene, creating clear risks for young women.

- Media campaigns should balance safety measures while guiding youth to continue to access essentials services.

- Contraceptive services can be reallocated to easier to access points of provision, including pharmacies and over-the-counter services, to support youth and combat fears of seeking formal services.

- Pharmacies are essential for ensuring young women and young men’s continued access to coital-dependent methods, specifically male condoms.

- Quality contraceptive counseling in the midst of COVID-19 is necessary for youth to select their preferred contraceptive methods and be informed of potential side effects.

- Access to low-cost menstrual hygiene products for young women remains a key priority.

### Methods

In 2019, Performance Monitoring for Action (PMA) Agile carried out a Youth Respondent-Driven Sampling Survey (YRDSS) among adolescents and youth ages 15-24 (N=1357, male N=690 and female N=664) in Nairobi, Kenya between June and August. In 2020, a fully remote follow-up study was conducted with the study cohort (now ages 16-26) to track changes in contraceptive dynamics, and assess the gendered impact of COVID-19. The quantitative surveys were conducted by phone in two distinct sessions to limit participant burden: YRDSS Follow-up (N=1223, male N=610 and female N=613) and Gender/COVID-19 Survey (N=1217, male N=605 and female N=612). Sampling weights accommodate the RDS study design, post-estimation adjustment and non-response adjustment. Virtual qualitative methods included focus group discussions (FGDs) with unmarried youth ages 15-24 (N=64, over 8 groups), FGDs with youth-serving stakeholders (N=32, over 4 groups), and key informant interviews with higher-level stakeholders (N=12). Data collection was conducted from August to October 2020.

### Suggested Citation


### References


