**OVERALL KEY FINDINGS**

Modern contraceptive method use among all women and married women has increased by 9 percentage points, but doubled among unmarried sexually active women between 2014 and 2020.

The largest increases are in the use of implants followed by EC, together growing from 8 to 33% of the method mix.

Unmet need for FP has fallen from 19% in 2014 to 11% in 2020.

**SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND**

**MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE**

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception (mCPR) by marital status

- Married women (PMA Phase 1 n=877)
- Unmarried, sexually active women (PMA Phase 1 n=126)
- All women (PMA Phase 1 n=1,469)

1.38% average annual increase in mCPR for all women

**CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY METHOD TYPE**

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using contraception by method type (PMA Phase 1 n=1,469)

- Short-acting methods
- Long-acting methods
- Traditional methods

Modern contraceptive method use among all women and married women has increased by 9 percentage points, but doubled among unmarried sexually active women between 2014 and 2020.

The largest increases are in the use of implants followed by EC, together growing from 8 to 33% of the method mix.

Unmet need for FP has fallen from 19% in 2014 to 11% in 2020.
TRENDS IN MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE MIX

Percent distribution of modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method and year (PMA Phase 1 n=371)

MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD MIX

Percent distribution of modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method and marital status

Method Use, Unmet Need, and Demand Satisfied by a Modern Method

Percent of women age 15-49 using contraception by method type, unmet need, and demand satisfied by a modern method (PMA Phase 1 n=1,469)
KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND

- Implants, male condoms, injectables, and pills are the most common methods used by married women, while male condoms and emergency contraception are most common among unmarried sexually active women.
- Demand satisfied by a modern method increased by 7 percentage points, while both unmet need for spacing and limiting have decreased between 2014 and 2020.
- 42% of the time, methods were discontinued within 12 months, largely for fertility-related reasons, while 13% switched to another method.

METHOD INFORMATION INDEX PLUS (MII+)

Percent of women who were told about side effects, what to do about side effects, of other methods, and the possibility of switching methods (n=343)

When you obtained your method were you told by the provider about side effects or problems you might have? 55 Yes, 45 No

Were you told what to do if you experienced side effects or problems? 18 Yes, 82 No

Were you told by the provider about methods of FP other than the method you received? 49 Yes, 51 No

Were you told that you could switch to a different method in the future? 50 Yes, 50 No

Percent of women who responded “Yes” to all four MII+ questions 29%

71% answered “No” to at least one MII+ question

SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

METHOD INFORMATION INDEX PLUS (MII+)

Percent of women who were told about side effects, what to do about side effects, of other methods, and the possibility of switching methods (n=343)

When you obtained your method were you told by the provider about side effects or problems you might have? 55 Yes, 45 No

Were you told what to do if you experienced side effects or problems? 18 Yes, 82 No

Were you told by the provider about methods of FP other than the method you received? 49 Yes, 51 No

Were you told that you could switch to a different method in the future? 50 Yes, 50 No

Percent of women who responded “Yes” to all four MII+ questions 29%

71% answered “No” to at least one MII+ question
DISCUSSED FP IN THE PAST YEAR WITH PROVIDER/CHW
Percent of women who received FP information from a provider or community health worker (CHW), by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>(n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>1,053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLIENT EXIT INTERVIEWS
Percent of female clients age 15-49 who said yes to the following questions

- During today’s visit, did the provider tell you the advantages/disadvantages of the FP method? (n=449)
  - Yes: 66%
  - No: 34%
  - Neither (follow-up visit): 3%

- During today’s visit, did you obtain the method of FP you wanted? (n=460)
  - Yes: 74%
  - No: 22%
  - Neither (follow-up visit): 3%

- Were you satisfied with FP services you received today at this facility? (n=460)
  - Yes: 100%
  - No: 0%
  - Neither (follow-up visit): 0%

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

- Only 29% of women were told about side effects, what to do about side effects, of other methods, and the possibility of switching methods.
- Women ages 25-49 years were more likely than their younger counterparts to report that they discussed FP with a provider or CHW in the past year.
- All female exiting clients interviewed reported they were satisfied with the FP services they received on that day.

SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

PARTNER INVOLVEMENT IN FP DECISIONS
Percent of women who are currently using modern, female controlled methods and agree with the following statements

- Does your partner know that you are using this method? (n=360)
  - Yes: 86%
  - No: 14%

- Before you started using this method had you discussed the decision to delay or avoid pregnancy with your partner? (n=360)
  - Yes: 90%
  - No: 10%

Modern, female controlled methods includes all modern methods except male sterilization and male condoms

Percent of women who are currently using FP and agree with the following statements

- Would you say that using FP is mainly your decision? (n=548)
  - Joint decision: 17%
  - Mainly respondent: 10%
  - Mainly partner: 33%
  - Other: 29%

- Would you say that not using FP is mainly your decision? (n=783)
  - Joint decision: 5%
  - Mainly respondent: 58%
  - Mainly partner: 29%
  - Other: 8%

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

- Among women using a female-controlled method, 90% had discussed the decision to delay pregnancy with their partners prior to using the method, while 14% reported that their partner was unaware that they were using a method.
- Among FP users, 73% reported that the choice to use a method was a joint decision with their partner.
- Among women not using a FP method, 29% reported this decision was jointly made with their partner, while 58% said they mainly decided on their own not to use a method.
### AGREEMENT WITH FAMILY PLANNING EMPOWERMENT STATEMENTS

Percent of married/in union women who strongly agree to strongly disagree with each statement

#### Exercise of choice (self-efficacy, negotiation) for family planning (n=801)

- I feel confident telling my provider what is important when selecting an FP method.
- I can decide to switch from one FP method to another if I want to.

#### Existence of choice (motivational autonomy) for family planning (n=808)

- If I use FP, my body may experience side effects that will disrupt relations with my partner.
- If I use FP, my children may not be born normal.
- There will be conflict in my relationship/marriage if I use FP.
- If I use FP, I may have trouble getting pregnant the next time I want to.
- If I use FP, my partner may seek another sexual partner.

---

### WOMEN’S AND GIRL’S EMPOWERMENT (WGE) SUB-SCALE FOR FAMILY PLANNING

The Women’s and Girls’ Empowerment (WGE) Index examines existence of choice, exercise of choice, and achievement of choice domains across pregnancy, family planning, and sex outcomes in married/in union women.

Presented results are only for the existence of choice and exercise of choice domains for family planning.

Scores from the above family planning empowerment statements were summed and divided by number of items (7) for average WGE family planning score across both domains.

Range for the combined WGE family planning score is 1-5, with a score of 5 indicating highest empowerment.

#### Mean WGE score, by education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Mean WGE Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary education</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None/Primary education</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Mean WGE score, by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Mean WGE Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS’ EMPOWERMENT

- Married women 25-49 years have higher empowerment scores than their younger counterparts.
- Women who have higher scores on the empowerment scale and those who work outside the home are more likely to be using modern contraception.

SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION

PERSONAL ATTITUDES

Percent of women who personally agree with statements made about contraceptive use, by age and contraceptive use status

By age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Non-users</th>
<th>Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By contraceptive use status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Disagree/Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Agree/Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-users</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FP is only for married women.

(n=1,441)

By age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Non-users</th>
<th>Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By contraceptive use status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Disagree/Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Agree/Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-users</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FP is only for women who don’t want any more children.

(n=1,438)

By age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Non-users</th>
<th>Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By contraceptive use status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Disagree/Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Agree/Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-users</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 6: REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION

- Six in 10 women agreed that FP is only for married women or women who don’t want any more children.
- About 3 in 4 women agreed that people who used FP have a better quality of life; those using a modern method are more likely to agree than non-users.

SECTION 6: REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE

Reproductive events by age 18

Percent of women aged 18-24 who experienced reproductive events by age 18 (n=285)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Disagree/Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Agree/Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Had first sex by age 18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married by age 18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gave birth by age 18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used contraceptives by age 18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproductive timeline

Median age at reproductive events

- 25.5 First birth and first contraceptive use
- 25.1 First marriage
- 20.2 First sex

Average children at first use: 1.4

Note: median age at first sex and first contraceptive use calculated among women 15-49 years; median age at first marriage and first birth calculated among women 25-49 years.

- There is a 5-year gap between when young women have their first sexual experience and when they get married, use contraception for the first time, and have their first birth.
- By age 18, 19% of women age 18-24 have had their first sexual experience, but only 7% have used contraception.
SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IUD

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=74)
- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered

Private facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=38)
- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IMPLANT

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=74)
- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered

Private facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=38)
- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: INJECTABLES

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=74)
- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered

Private facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=38)
- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered
TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: PILLS

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=74)

Private facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=38)

FEES FOR SERVICES

Percent of facilities where FP clients have to pay fees to be seen by a provider even if they do not obtain FP

Public facilities (n=74) 5%

Private facilities (n=38) 21%

FACILITY READINESS

Percent of facilities that provide implants and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for implant insertion/removal (n=80)

86%

14%

Percent of facilities that provide IUDs and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for IUD insertion/removal (n=77)

86%

14%

31% of women obtained their current modern method from a public health facility (n=357)

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS

• IUDs and implants have been offered by 60% or more of public facilities over time, but 20% or less of private facilities.

• In the most recent survey in 2020, 100% of public facilities had injectables and pills in stock on the day of the survey, and 96% had male condoms.

• Private facilities reported stockouts in double digits for injectables, pills and male condoms on the day of the most recent survey in 2020.
### Tables: Contraceptive Prevalence and Unmet Need

#### All Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Round/Phase Data collection</th>
<th>Female sample</th>
<th>CPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>mCPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Unmet need (%)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R2</td>
<td>Oct-Nov 2015</td>
<td>1,429</td>
<td>27.78</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>24.19 - 31.68</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>18.33 - 24.01</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>15.13 - 20.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R3</td>
<td>May-July 2016</td>
<td>1,432</td>
<td>26.42</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>22.87 - 30.30</td>
<td>19.68</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>17.41 - 22.18</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>12.95 - 18.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R4</td>
<td>Apr-May 2017</td>
<td>1,535</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>25.47 - 34.36</td>
<td>20.55</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>17.55 - 23.93</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>12.94 - 17.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R5</td>
<td>Apr-June 2018</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td>33.14</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>29.54 - 36.94</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>19.80 - 25.80</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>10.54 - 14.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA Phase 1 Dec 2019-Jan 2020</td>
<td>1,469</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>35.25 - 41.17</td>
<td>25.63</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>23.38 - 28.02</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>8.72 - 13.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Women in Union

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Round/Phase Data collection</th>
<th>Female sample</th>
<th>CPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>mCPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Unmet need (%)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R2</td>
<td>Oct-Nov 2015</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>34.63</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>29.72 - 39.89</td>
<td>26.36</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>22.67 - 30.41</td>
<td>23.70</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>20.41 - 27.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R4</td>
<td>Apr-May 2017</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>35.88</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>30.97 - 41.10</td>
<td>23.31</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>20.00 - 26.99</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>17.76 - 24.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R5</td>
<td>Apr-June 2018</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>40.29</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>35.06 - 45.76</td>
<td>25.53</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>21.57 - 29.94</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>15.28 - 20.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA Phase 1 Dec 2019-Jan 2020</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>46.53</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>42.12 - 50.99</td>
<td>28.82</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>25.33 - 32.59</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>12.32 - 19.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMA Nigeria (Lagos) collects information on knowledge, practice, and coverage of family planning services in 52 enumeration areas selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster design. The results are representative at the state-level. Data were collected between December 2019 and January 2020 from 1,619 households (93.2% response rate), 1,469 females age 15-49 (96.6% response rate), 127 facilities (96.9% completion rate), and 460 client exit interviews. For sampling information and full data sets, visit www.pmadata.org/countries/nigeria.

PMA uses mobile technology and female resident data collectors to support rapid-turnaround surveys to monitor key family planning and health indicators in Africa and Asia. PMA Nigeria is led by the Centre for Research, Evaluation Resources and Development (CRERD). Overall direction and support are provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health at the Johns Hopkins University and Jhpiego. Funding is provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.