OVERALL KEY FINDINGS

There has been an increase in the use of modern contraceptive methods among all women since 2017.

45% of women reported to have received comprehensive methods information during the FP visit.

48% of pregnancies were unintended.

SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND

MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception (mCPR) by marital status

CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY METHOD TYPE

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using contraception by method type (PMA Phase 1 n=1,277)

There has been an increase in the use of modern contraceptive methods among all women since 2017. 45% of women reported to have received comprehensive methods information during the FP visit. 48% of pregnancies were unintended.

- Married women (PMA Phase 1 n=753)
- Unmarried, sexually active women (PMA Phase 1 n=140)
- All women (PMA Phase 1 n=1,277)
MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY COUNTY
Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception by Kenya county

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bungoma</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyamira</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nandi</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakamega</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiambu</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kericho</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitui</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siaya</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilifi</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Pokot</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRENDS IN MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE MIX
Percent distribution of modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method and year (PMA Phase 1 n=315)

METHOD USE, UNMET NEED, AND DEMAND SATISFIED BY A MODERN METHOD
Percent of women age 15-49 using contraception by method type, unmet need, and demand satisfied by a modern method (PMA Phase 1 n=1,277)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modern method</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional method</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmet need for spacing</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmet need for limiting</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand satisfied by modern method</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key for method mix charts:
- Other modern methods
- Emergency contraception
- Male condom
- Pill
- Injectable (SC)
- Injectable (IM)
- Implant
- IUD
- Female sterilization

*Other* category includes male sterilization, female condoms, diaphragm, foam/jelly, LAM, and standard days/cycle beads.

Married/in union women (n=469)

Unmarried sexually active women (n=83)
12-MONTH DISCONTINUATION RATE

Among women who started an episode of contraceptive use within the two years preceding the survey, the percent of episodes discontinued within 12 months (n=595 episodes)

- 36% discontinued for other reasons
- 3% discontinued to become pregnant
- Reasons for discontinuation:
  - 2% experienced method failure
  - 6% were concerned over side effects or health
  - 8% had other fertility related reasons
  - 12% wanted a more effective method
  - 6% other method-related reasons
  - 3% other/don’t know
- Discontinued but switched methods: 22%

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND

- There has been an increase in the use of modern contraception among all women in recent years (from 2017).
- 39% of the time, methods were discontinued (total discontinuation (17%) and switching (22%)) within one year of starting.
- Reasons for discontinuation and switching include: wanted more effective method (12%), other fertility related reasons (8%), side effects/health concerns (6%), other method related reasons (6%), wanted to become pregnant (3%), other reasons 5%.
- 48% of the pregnancies were unintended, with 35% mistimed and 13% not wanted.

SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

METHOD INFORMATION INDEX PLUS (MII+)

Percent of women who were told about side effects, what to do about side effects, of other methods, and the possibility of switching methods (n=619)

- When you obtained your method were you told by the provider about side effects or problems you might have?
  - Yes: 34, No: 66
- Were you told what to do if you experienced side effects or problems?
  - Yes: 11, No: 89
- Were you told by the provider about methods of FP other than the method you received?
  - Yes: 27, No: 73
- Were you told that you could switch to a different method in the future?
  - Yes: 28, No: 72

- Percent of women who responded “Yes” to all four MII+ questions
  - 55% answered “No” to at least one MII+ question
  - 45% answered “Yes” to all four MII+ questions
DISCUSSED FP IN THE PAST YEAR WITH PROVIDER/CHW
Percent of women who received FP information from a provider or community health worker (CHW), by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Yes (n)</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLIENT EXIT INTERVIEWS
Percent of female clients age 15-49 who said yes to the following questions (n=377)

- During today’s visit, did the provider tell you the advantages/disadvantages of the FP method? 43%
- During today’s visit, did you obtain the method of FP you wanted? 94%
- Were you satisfied with FP services you received today at this facility? 99%

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

• About 45% of all women reported to have received comprehensive FP methods information when they obtained their current contraceptive method.
• At the facility, 99% of the clients reported satisfaction with FP services they received while 94% of the clients reported to have obtained their method of choice.
• Adolescents are two times less likely to receive FP information from a health provider or a CHW compared to older women.

SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

PARTNER INVOLVEMENT IN FP DECISIONS
Percent of women who are currently using modern, female controlled methods and agree with the following statements (n=619)

- Does your partner know that you are using this method? Yes 85%, No 15%
- Before you started using this method had you discussed the decision to delay or avoid pregnancy with your partner? Yes 80%, No 20%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Yes (n=656)</th>
<th>Part of the time (n=554)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would you say that using FP is mainly your decision?</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you say that not using FP is mainly your decision?</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

• Among women using a modern method that can be concealed, 15% report that their partners do not know that they are using contraception.
• 16% of the women who are using a contraceptive method report that it is mainly their partner’s decision.
• 19% of the decisions not to use and 52% of the decisions to use FP are jointly made.
**SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS’ EMPOWERMENT**

**AGREEMENT WITH FAMILY PLANNING EMPOWERMENT STATEMENTS**

Percent of all women who strongly agree to strongly disagree with each statement

**Exercise of choice (self-efficacy, negotiation) for family planning (n=1,265)**

- I feel confident telling my provider what is important when selecting an FP method.
  - Strongly disagree: 9%
  - Disagree: 2%
  - Neutral: 6%
  - Agree: 83%
  - Strongly agree: 0%

- I can decide to switch from one FP method to another if I want to.
  - Strongly disagree: 16%
  - Disagree: 2%
  - Neutral: 6%
  - Agree: 76%
  - Strongly agree: 0%

**Existence of choice (motivational autonomy) for family planning (n=1,257)**

- If I use FP, my body may experience side effects that will disrupt relations with my partner.
  - Strongly disagree: 59%
  - Disagree: 11%
  - Neutral: 3%
  - Agree: 5%
  - Strongly agree: 22%

- If I use FP, my children may not be born normal.
  - Strongly disagree: 75%
  - Disagree: 10%
  - Neutral: 2%
  - Agree: 10%

- There will be conflict in my relationship/marriage if I use FP.
  - Strongly disagree: 72%
  - Disagree: 11%
  - Neutral: 3%
  - Agree: 12%

- If I use FP, I may have trouble getting pregnant the next time I want to.
  - Strongly disagree: 69%
  - Disagree: 11%
  - Neutral: 3%
  - Agree: 15%

- If I use FP, my partner may seek another sexual partner.
  - Strongly disagree: 77%
  - Disagree: 9%
  - Neutral: 22%
  - Agree: 9%

**WOMEN’S AND GIRL’S EMPOWERMENT (WGE) SUB-SCALE FOR FAMILY PLANNING**

The Women’s and Girls’ Empowerment (WGE) Index examines existence of choice, exercise of choice, and achievement of choice domains across pregnancy, family planning, and sex outcomes.

Presented results are only for the existence of choice and exercise of choice domains for family planning.

Scores from the above family planning empowerment statements were summed and divided by number of items (7) for average WGE family planning score across both domains.

Range for the combined WGE family planning score is 1-5, with a score of 5 indicating highest empowerment.

**Mean WGE score, by education**

- Overall: 4.2
- Tertiary education: 4.5
- Secondary education: 4.3
- None/ Primary education: 4.2

**Mean WGE score, by age**

- Overall: 4.2
- 25-49: 4.3
- 20-24: 4.3
- 15-19: 4.0
mCPR and intent to use contraception, by categorical WGE score
Percent of women using a modern method of contraception and percent of women who intend to use contraception in the next year by categorical WGE score (n=1,277)

mCPR and intent to use contraception, by employment
Percent of women using a modern method of contraception and percent of women who intend to use contraception in the next year by employment status (n=1,277)

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS' EMPOWERMENT
- Women who score higher on the empowerment scale are much more likely to be using a modern contraceptive method or to intend to use in the future.
- Women who are employed are more likely to be using or intending to use modern contraception.

SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION

PERSONAL ATTITUDES
Percent of women who personally agree with statements made about contraceptive use, by age, residence, and contraceptive use status

By age

By residence

By contraceptive use status

Adolescents who use FP are promiscuous.
(n=1,274)

FP is only for married women.
(n=1,275)

FP is only for women who don’t want any more children.
(n=1,274)
Reproductive timeline

**By age**

- **Disagree/Strongly disagree**
  - 15-19: 31, 69
  - 20-24: 30, 70
  - 25-49: 26, 74

**By residence**

- **Disagree/Strongly disagree**
  - Urban: 28, 72
  - Rural: 28, 72

**By contraceptive use status**

- **Disagree/Strongly disagree**
  - Users: 30, 70
  - Non-users: 26, 75

**Key Findings for Section 5: Attitudes Towards Contraception**

- At least 7 in every 10 of the women agreed or strongly agreed that people who use FP have a better quality of life. There is no difference by age, residence or by contraceptive use status.

- In every 10 respondents, approximately 5 to 7 have misconceptions about family planning.

- About 6 in every 10 adolescents believe in the myth that adolescents who use FP are promiscuous.

**Section 6: Reproductive Timeline**

**Reproductive Events by Age 18**

- Percent of women aged 18-24 who experienced reproductive events by age 18 (n=357)

**Key Findings for Section 6: Reproductive Timeline**

- Rural women enter sexual activity earlier, marry earlier, give birth earlier, but initiate contraception later than urban women.

- While about 52% of the young women have had first sex by age 18, only 15% are married by that age and just 9% have used a contraceptive.
SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IUD
Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=68)

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IMPLANT
Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=68)

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: INJECTABLES
Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=68)

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: MALE CONDOMS
Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=68)

TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: PILLS
Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=68)

- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered
FACILITY READINESS
Percent of facilities that provide implants and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for implant insertion/removal (n=63)

- No: 41%
- Yes: 59%

FACILITY READINESS
Percent of facilities that provide IUDs and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for IUD insertion/removal (n=31)

- No: 45%
- Yes: 55%

FEES FOR SERVICES
Percent of facilities where FP clients have to pay fees to be seen by a provider even if they do not obtain FP

Public facilities (n=68)
- Fees: 6%
- No fees: 94%

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS

- 76% of women obtained their current modern method from a public health facility (n=619)

- More than half of the public SDPs reported not to be offering IUDs in 2019.
- 76% of the users reported to have obtained their method from a public health facility.
- 6% of the public facilities reported that FP clients have to pay fees to be seen by a provider even if they do not obtain FP.
## TABLES: CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE AND UNMET NEED

### ALL WOMEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Round/Phase</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Female sample</th>
<th>CPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>mCPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Unmet need (%)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R1</td>
<td>May-July 2014</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>44.89</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>39.82</td>
<td>50.07</td>
<td>44.56</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>39.59</td>
<td>49.65</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R2</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2014</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>45.36</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>35.06</td>
<td>56.06</td>
<td>44.63</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>34.52</td>
<td>55.20</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R3</td>
<td>June-July 2015</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>50.34</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>62.19</td>
<td>49.76</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>37.98</td>
<td>61.56</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R4</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2015</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>48.43</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>36.56</td>
<td>60.49</td>
<td>47.66</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>36.06</td>
<td>59.51</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R5</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2016</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>49.09</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>39.63</td>
<td>58.62</td>
<td>47.49</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>38.18</td>
<td>56.98</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R6</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2017</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>46.35</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>37.39</td>
<td>55.55</td>
<td>45.23</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>36.68</td>
<td>54.08</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R7</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2018</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>50.98</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>44.42</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>48.85</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>42.45</td>
<td>55.28</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA Phase 1</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2019</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>52.35</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>47.85</td>
<td>56.80</td>
<td>49.14</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>44.66</td>
<td>53.64</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WOMEN IN UNION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Round/Phase</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Female sample</th>
<th>CPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>mCPR%</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Unmet need (%)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R1</td>
<td>May-July 2014</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>57.35</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>48.82</td>
<td>65.64</td>
<td>56.82</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>64.87</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R2</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2014</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>63.16</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>78.11</td>
<td>62.31</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>44.34</td>
<td>77.43</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R3</td>
<td>June-July 2015</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>66.08</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>76.65</td>
<td>65.32</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>53.23</td>
<td>75.71</td>
<td>16.07</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R4</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2015</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>69.60</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>56.74</td>
<td>79.98</td>
<td>68.29</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>56.15</td>
<td>78.37</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R5</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2016</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>67.41</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>56.59</td>
<td>76.65</td>
<td>65.25</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>54.08</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R6</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2017</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>62.61</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>52.05</td>
<td>72.10</td>
<td>60.49</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>69.46</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA 2020 R7</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2018</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>67.36</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>59.05</td>
<td>74.71</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>57.93</td>
<td>73.24</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMA Phase 1</td>
<td>Nov-Dec 2019</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>65.51</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>60.83</td>
<td>69.90</td>
<td>62.18</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>57.70</td>
<td>66.46</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMA Kenya (Nandi) collects information on knowledge, practice, and coverage of family planning services in 40 enumeration areas selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster design with urban-rural strata. The results are county-level representative. Data were collected between November and December 2019 from 1,352 households (98.3% response rate), 1,277 females age 15-49 (98.3% response rate), 93 facilities (93.9% completion rate), and 377 client exit interviews. For sampling information and full data sets, visit www.pmadata.org/countries/kenya.

PMA uses mobile technology and female resident data collectors to support rapid-turnaround surveys to monitor key family planning and health indicators in Africa and Asia. PMA Kenya is led by the Ministry of Health in collaboration with International Centre for Reproductive Health Kenya (ICRHK), National Council for Population and Development, and Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Overall direction and support are provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health at the Johns Hopkins University and Jhpiego. Funding is provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.