



PMA KENYA (KITUI)

Results from Phase 1 baseline survey


November–December 2019

OVERALL KEY FINDINGS

SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND


MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception (mCPR) by marital status




CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY METHOD TYPE

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using contraception by method type (PMA Phase 1 n=974)



MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY COUNTY

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception by Kenya county



METHOD USE, UNMET NEED, AND DEMAND SATISFIED BY A MODERN METHOD


Percent of women age 15-49 using contraception by method type, unmet need, and demand satisfied by a modern method (PMA Phase 1 n=974)



Demand satisfied by a modern method is use of modern contraceptive methods divided by the sum of unmet need plus total contraceptive use.

TRENDS IN MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE MIX

Percent distribution of modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method and year (PMA Phase 1 n=419)



MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD MIX

Percent distribution of modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method and marital status



'Other' category includes female condoms, standard days/cycle beads, and LAM.

12-MONTH DISCONTINUATION RATE


Among women who started an episode of contraceptive use within the two years preceding the survey, the percent of episodes discontinued within 12 months (n=358 episodes)



Reasons for discontinuation:




Discontinued but switched methods:



KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND

INTENTION OF MOST RECENT BIRTH/CURRENT PREGNANCY


Percent of women by intention of their most recent birth or current pregnancy (n=539)



SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

METHOD INFORMATION INDEX PLUS (MII+)

Percent of women who were told about side effects, what to do about side effects, of other methods, and the possibility of switching methods (n=415)




● No ● Yes

Percent of women who responded "Yes" to all four MII+ questions




DISCUSSED FP IN THE PAST YEAR WITH PROVIDER/CHW

Percent of women who received FP information from a provider or community health worker (CHW), by age



CLIENT EXIT INTERVIEWS

Percent of female clients age 15-49 who said yes to the following questions (n=294)



Clients were interviewed immediately following their health facility visit to obtain FP counseling or services.


KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

PARTNER INVOLVEMENT IN FP DECISIONS

Percent of women who are currently using modern, female controlled methods and agree with the following statements (n=415)

Does your partner know that you are using this method?




Before you started using this method had you discussed the decision to delay or avoid pregnancy with your partner?



Modern, female controlled methods Includes all modern methods except male sterilization and male condoms.


Percent of women who are currently using FP and agree with the following statements (n=435)

Would you say that using FP is mainly your decision?



Percent of women who are not currently using FP and agree with the following statements (n=499)

Would you say that not using FP is mainly your decision?



● Joint decision
● Mainly respondent
● Mainly partner
● Other

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS' EMPOWERMENT

AGREEMENT WITH FAMILY PLANNING EMPOWERMENT STATEMENTS


Percent of all women who strongly agree to strongly disagree with each statement

Exercise of choice (self-efficacy, negotiation) for family planning (n=966)

I feel confident telling my provider what is important when selecting an FP method.



I can decide to switch from one FP method to another if I want to.



Existence of choice (motivational autonomy) for family planning (n=954)

If I use FP, my body may experience side effects that will disrupt relations with my partner.



If I use FP, my children may not be born normal.



There will be conflict in my relationship/marriage if I use FP.



If I use FP, I may have trouble getting pregnant the next time I want to.



If I use FP, my partner may seek another sexual partner.



● Strongly disagree ● Disagree ● Neutral ● Agree ● Strongly agree

WOMEN'S AND GIRL'S EMPOWERMENT (WGE) SUB-SCALE FOR FAMILY PLANNING


The Women's and Girls' Empowerment (WGE) Index examines existence of choice, exercise of choice, and achievement of choice domains across pregnancy, family planning, and sex outcomes.

Presented results are only for the existence of choice and exercise of choice domains for family planning.

Scores from the above family planning empowerment statements were summed and divided by number of items (7) for average WGE family planning score across both domains.

Range for the combined WGE family planning score is 1-5, with a score of 5 indicating highest empowerment.

Mean WGE score, by education




Mean WGE score, by age




mCPR and intent to use contraception, by categorical WGE score

Percent of women using a modern method of contraception and percent of women who intend to use contraception in the next year by categorical WGE score (n=974)



mCPR and intent to use contraception, by employment

Percent of women using a modern method of contraception and percent of women who intend to use contraception in the next year by employment status (n=974)



KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS' EMPOWERMENT

SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION


PERSONAL ATTITUDES

Percent of women who personally agree with statements made about contraceptive use, by age, residence, and contraceptive use status


Adolescents who use FP are promiscuous.

(n=970)


By age



By residence




By contraceptive use status




FP is only for married women.

(n=971)


By age



By residence




By contraceptive use status




FP is only for women who don't want any more children.

(n=971)


By age



By residence




By contraceptive use status




People who use FP have a better quality of life.

(n=969)


By age



By residence



By contraceptive use status




KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION

SECTION 6: REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE

REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE


Median age at reproductive events, by urban vs. rural residence



Note: median age at first sex and first contraceptive use calculated among women 15-49 years; median age at first marriage and first birth calculated among women 25-49 years.

REPRODUCTIVE EVENTS BY AGE 18

Percent of women aged 18-24 who experienced reproductive events by age 18 (n=238)




KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 6: REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE

SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS


TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IUD

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=82)



TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IMPLANT

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=82)




TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: INJECTABLES

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=82)




TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: MALE CONDOMS

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=82)



TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: PILLS


Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=82)




- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered

FACILITY READINESS

Percent of facilities that provide implants and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for implant insertion/removal (n=81)



Percent of facilities that provide IUDs and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for IUD insertion/removal (n=56)



FEES FOR SERVICES

Percent of facilities where FP clients have to pay fees to be seen by a provider even if they do not obtain FP

Public facilities (n=82)



85%

of women obtained their current modern method from a public health facility (n=415)

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS

TABLES: CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE AND UNMET NEED

ALL WOMEN

Data source	Round/Phase	Data collection	Female sample	CPR			mCPR			Unmet need for family planning					
				CPR%	SE	95% CI	mCPR%	SE	95% CI	Unmet need (%)	SE	95% CI			
PMA 2020	R1	May-July 2014	389	39.84	4.81	30.04	50.54	39.72	4.79	29.95	50.40	16.52	2.92	11.13	23.82
PMA 2020	R2	Nov-Dec 2014	473	40.36	4.59	30.94	50.55	39.65	4.52	30.40	49.70	15.30	2.55	10.56	21.65
PMA 2020	R3	June-July 2015	507	52.78	7.12	37.73	67.35	51.02	7.51	35.37	66.47	11.78	2.74	7.04	19.05
PMA 2020	R4	Nov-Dec 2015	560	55.08	5.56	43.03	66.57	53.18	5.92	40.50	65.47	12.23	2.28	8.11	18.05
PMA 2020	R5	Nov-Dec 2016	585	51.51	6.35	37.94	64.85	49.96	6.18	36.85	63.08	10.69	1.38	8.03	14.11
PMA 2020	R6	Nov-Dec 2017	572	47.62	3.55	39.95	55.40	45.11	3.49	37.64	52.80	13.54	3.15	8.02	21.93
PMA 2020	R7	Nov-Dec 2018	548	54.31	5.47	42.38	65.77	52.47	5.59	40.38	64.27	9.66	2.33	5.65	16.03
PMA	Phase 1	Nov-Dec 2019	974	43.32	2.83	37.66	49.16	41.05	2.91	35.27	47.09	12.43	1.66	9.42	16.23

WOMEN IN UNION

Data source	Round/Phase	Data collection	Female sample	CPR			mCPR			Unmet need for family planning					
				CPR%	SE	95% CI	mCPR%	SE	95% CI	Unmet need (%)	SE	95% CI			
PMA 2020	R1	May-July 2014	250	51.74	5.54	39.91	63.38	51.54	5.53	39.75	63.17	21.16	4.15	13.57	31.45
PMA 2020	R2	Nov-Dec 2014	287	57.26	6.71	42.63	70.71	56.02	6.60	41.73	69.37	20.12	4.44	12.22	31.30
PMA 2020	R3	June-July 2015	318	64.84	6.14	50.83	76.68	62.87	6.60	48.01	75.64	13.13	3.85	6.86	23.68
PMA 2020	R4	Nov-Dec 2015	311	72.50	6.09	57.83	83.52	70.92	6.49	55.45	82.70	12.72	3.73	6.64	23.00
PMA 2020	R5	Nov-Dec 2016	343	67.02	6.09	52.79	78.69	65.41	5.90	51.78	76.91	11.51	2.30	7.36	17.54
PMA 2020	R6	Nov-Dec 2017	342	62.68	5.58	49.98	73.85	59.97	5.40	47.86	70.98	13.85	3.02	8.48	21.80
PMA 2020	R7	Nov-Dec 2018	343	70.58	5.31	57.93	80.70	68.25	5.71	54.82	79.21	10.57	3.46	5.11	20.59
PMA	Phase 1	Nov-Dec 2019	582	61.11	3.75	53.26	68.43	57.97	3.96	49.77	65.75	14.33	1.93	10.83	18.73

PMA Kenya (Kitui) collects information on knowledge, practice, and coverage of family planning services in 31 enumeration areas selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster design with urban-rural strata. The results are county-level representative. Data were collected between November and December 2019 from 1,072 households (99% response rate), 974 females age 15-49 (98.7% response rate), 87 facilities (93.5% completion rate), and 294 client exit interviews. For sampling information and full data sets, visit www.pmadata.org/countries/kenya.

PMA uses mobile technology and female resident data collectors to support rapid-turnaround surveys to monitor key family planning and health indicators in Africa and Asia. PMA Kenya is led by the Ministry of Health in collaboration with International Centre for Reproductive Health Kenya (ICRHK), National Council for Population and Development, and Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Overall direction and support are provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health at the Johns Hopkins University and Jhpiego. Funding is provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.